

Copulas and Their Applications in Risk Management and Finance

Rustam Ibragimov
Department of Economics
Harvard University

Harvard Statistics Summer Course
June 19-23, 2006

Outline

Motivation

Dependence vs. marginals

What is wrong with variances & correlations?

Heavy-tailedness paradigm

Example: Dependence & marginals in portfolio choice & VaR

Copulas

Definition

Copulas: Main properties

First application: Probability of “perfect storm”

Hoeffding-Fréchet bounds: best & worst dependence

Copulas & dependence measures

Further applications: Bounds on portfolio VaR & expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims

Positive vs. negative quadrant dependence

Related dependence concepts

Option & risk bounds for associated risks

Copulas: simulation

Copulas: estimation

Empirical evidence on copula models

Motivation

Dependence vs. marginals

- **Fundamental problems in finance & risk management: Solution is affected by both**
 - Properties of **marginal** distributions (**heavy-tailedness, skewness**)
 - **Dependence** (**positive** or **negative** dependence, dependence **asymmetry**)

- Example: Optimal **portfolio choice & value at risk (VaR)** theory
 - **Marginal** effects under **independence**: degree of **heavy-tailedness** of risks or returns (not extremely heavy-tailed vs. extremely heavy-tailed) \implies **Opposite solutions**
 - **Dependent α -symmetric** risks: Interplay of **heavy-tailedness & common shock dependence** structure; **diversification pays off** even under **extreme heavy-tailedness** in marginals
 - Different solutions: **Positive vs. negative** dependence
- Need reliable **measures of risk & dependence**

What is wrong with variances & correlations?

- **Variance and correlation: most widely used measures of risk & dependence**
 - **Crucial** in many **models** in **economics, finance & risk management**
 - Optimal **portfolio selection** in **mean-variance** framework
 - Capital asset pricing theory, arbitrage pricing theory
 - Foundation for **autocorrelation function (ACF)**-based **inference** in **time series** analysis
 - **Linear regression** models

What is wrong with variances & correlations?

- **Problematic** in a number of real-world settings
- Work well under **multivariate normality** and, more generally, **elliptic** distributions (affine transformations of **spherical**)

Departures from normality: typical for financial & insurance data

- **Heavy-tailedness** problem
 - **Defined** for risks and returns with **finite second** moments:
 $Er_t^2 < \infty$
 - A number of time series in finance & insurance: **infinite variances** and even **means!**
 - Reliable **estimation: problematic** if **fourth moments** are **infinite**

Many **risks & returns** on financial assets: **tail index**
 $\alpha \in (2, 4) \implies$ Finite variances but **infinite fourth moments**

What is wrong with variances & correlations?

- **Symmetric measures of dependence & risk**
 - $\rho(X, Y) = \rho \implies$ **Cannot tell** whether markets X & Y are more **likely to crash together** or to **boom together**

Dependence in financial & insurance markets: **typically asymmetric**

- **Crashes** are more **likely to occur together**
- **Returns & exchange rates** typically exhibit **greater correlation & dependence** during market **downturns** than market **upturns**
- **Good story: individual; bad story: worldwide**

- **Correlation:** measure of **linear dependence**
 - $\rho(X, Y) = 0 \nRightarrow$ **independence**
 - **Not invariant** under **transformations** of risks:
 $\rho(X, Y) \neq \rho(f(X), f(Y))$ for nonlinear increasing f
 - **Returns** in financial markets: **uncorrelated** $\text{Corr}(r_t, r_{t+h}) \approx 0$
 - **Long-range dependence** in simple **nonlinear functions** of r_t :
 $\text{Corr}(r_t^2, r_{t+h}^2) \approx \frac{c}{h^\beta}$, $\beta \in [0.2, 0.4]$; **decay** to zero **slowly** as
 $h \rightarrow \infty$
 - **Similar patterns: Other powers:** $\text{Corr}[|r_t|^p, |r_{t+h}|^p]$ &
nonlinear functions: $\text{Corr}[\log(|r_t|), \log(|r_{t+h}|)]$
 - **Volatility clustering:** **large price variations** are likely to be
followed by **large price variations**
 - Simple **uncorrelatedness does not account** for nonlinear
dependence
- **Bivariate measure of dependence**
 - **Pairwise independence** \nRightarrow **Joint independence**

Heavy-tailedness paradigm

- Many **economic & financial time series**: **power law** tails:
 $P(|X| > x) \approx \frac{C}{x^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$: **tail index**
- **Moments** of order $p \geq \alpha$: **infinite**; $E|X|^p < \infty$ iff $p < \alpha$
 - $\alpha \leq 1 \implies$ **Infinite first moments**: $E|X| = \infty$
 - $\alpha \leq 2 \implies$ **Infinite variances**: $EX^2 = \infty$

Heavy-tailedness paradigm

- **Several** economic & financial **time series**: **infinite first & second moments**
 - **Returns** from **technological innovations**: $\alpha \ll 1$
 - **Loss** distributions of a number of **operational risks**: $\alpha < 1$
 - Profit in **motion pictures**: $1 < \alpha < 2$
 - **Firm sizes**, **sizes** of largest **mutual funds**, **city sizes**: $\alpha \approx 1$
 - Very **uncertain** demand
- **Returns** on **many stocks & stock indices**: $\alpha \in (2, 4)$
- **Variances** are **finite** but **fourth moments** are **infinite**: **not a good news** for an econometrician

Problems in inference on variances & correlations

- **t-ratio** of an estimator:

$$t_{\text{estimator}} = \frac{\text{estimator}}{\text{estimated standard error of the estimator}}$$

- Need to estimate **variance of sample variance**

$$\hat{\sigma}_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n X_t^2 \text{ and } \text{sample covariances } \hat{\sigma}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n X_t X_{t-j}$$

- But $\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_j) = f(EX_1^4, EX_1^2 EX_2^2, \dots) \Rightarrow$ need existence of **fourth moments** for **reliable inference**
- **Infinite fourth** moments (most of data) \Rightarrow **slow convergence, wide confidence bands** for **autocorrelations & variances**
- Situation: even **worse** for **squares of returns & risks** (analysis of **nonlinear dependence**)

Example: Dependence & marginals in portfolio choice & VaR

Stable distributions & heavy-tailedness

- **Popular** approach to **heavy-tailedness** modeling: **stable** distributions
- $X \sim S_\alpha(\sigma)$: symmetric **stable** distribution, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$
CF: $E(e^{ixX}) = \exp\{-\sigma^\alpha |x|^\alpha\}$
 - **Normal** $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$: $\alpha = 2$
 - **Cauchy**: $\alpha = 1$, $f(x) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi(\sigma^2 + x^2)}$
 - **Lévy**: $\alpha = 1/2$, support $[0, \infty)$, $f(x) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2x})$

Stable distributions & heavy-tailedness

- **Power law tails:** $P(|X| > x) \approx \frac{C}{x^\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$
 - **Moments** $E|X|^p$: **finite** iff $p < \alpha$
 - **Infinite variances** for $\alpha < 2$ (can be **finite** in **multivariate** generalizations, α -**symmetric** distributions)
- **Characteristic property:** i.i.d. $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim S_\alpha(\sigma)$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i =_d (\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} X_1$

Majorization & portfolio diversification

- v majorized by w ($v \prec w$):

$$\sum_{i=1}^k v_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k w_{[i]}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{[i]},$$

$$v_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq v_{[n]}, \quad w_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq w_{[n]}$$

- Natural approach to **diversification modeling**

- $v \prec w \iff$ Components of v **less diverse**

- Portfolio v : more diversified

- $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \implies$

$$\underline{w} = \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \prec (w_1, \dots, w_n) \prec (1, 0, \dots, 0) = \bar{w}$$

- \underline{w} : **equal weights; most diversified**

- \bar{w} : **one risk; least diversified**

Value at risk (VaR)

- **VaR**
 - **Risk** X ; **positive** values = **losses**
 - **Loss probability** q
 - $VaR_q(X) = z : P(X > z) = q$
- **Risks** X_1, \dots, X_n
- $Z_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i$: **return on portfolio** with weights
 $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$
- **Problem** of interest:

Minimize $VaR_q(Z_w)$

s.t. $w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$

- When **diversification** \Rightarrow **decrease in portfolio riskiness** (VaR)?

Diversification & risk

- **Extreme portfolios**

- \underline{w} : most diversified
- \bar{w} : least diversified

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$

- $Z_{\underline{w}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i =_d \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{\bar{w}}$
- $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} VaR_q(Z_{\bar{w}}) < VaR_q(Z_{\bar{w}})$
- $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) : \searrow$ as $n \nearrow$ (**Diversification** \nearrow)

Diversification & risk

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim S_{1/2}(\sigma)$, $\alpha = 1/2$, **Lévy distribution**
 - $Z_{\underline{w}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \stackrel{d}{=} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/2} \right]^2 X_1 = nX_1 = nZ_{\bar{w}}$
 - $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) = nVaR_q(Z_{\bar{w}}) > VaR_q(Z_{\bar{w}})$
 - $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) : \nearrow$ as $n \nearrow$ (**Diversification** \nearrow)
- **Heavy-tailedness (marginals) matters: diversification \implies opposite effects on portfolio riskiness**
- **Skewness: typically priced**

Heavy-tailedness & portfolio diversification

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim S_\alpha(\sigma)$, $\alpha > 1$: **finite first moments**
 - $v \prec w \implies VaR_q(Z_v) < VaR_q(Z_w)$
 - **Diversification \implies Decrease in riskiness**
 - $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) < VaR_q(Z_w) < VaR_q(Z_{\overline{w}})$
 - **Optimal portfolio: \underline{w} : most diversified; equal weights**
 - **Worst portfolio: \overline{w} : least diversified; one risk**

Heavy-tailedness & portfolio diversification

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim S_\alpha(\sigma)$, $\alpha < 1$: **infinite first moments**
 - **Diversification \implies Increase in riskiness!**
 - $VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}}) < VaR_q(Z_w) < VaR_q(Z_{\underline{w}})$
 - **Optimal portfolio: \underline{w} : least diversified; one risk**
 - **Worst portfolio: \underline{w} : most diversified; equal weights**
- $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim S_1(\sigma)$, $\alpha = 1$: **Cauchy**
 - $Z_w =_d X_1 \forall w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) : w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$
 - **Diversification: no effect at all!**

Heavy-tailedness and VaR coherency

- (Artzner et. al., 1999) \mathcal{R} : **coherent** measure of risk if
 - A1. (**Monotonicity**) $\mathcal{R}(X) \geq \mathcal{R}(Y)$ if $Y \leq X$
 - A2. (**Translation invariance**) $\mathcal{R}(X + a) = \mathcal{R}(X) + a$
 - A3. (**Positive homogeneity**) $\mathcal{R}(\lambda X) = \lambda \mathcal{R}(X) \forall \lambda \geq 0$
 - A4. (**Subadditivity**) $\mathcal{R}(X + Y) \leq \mathcal{R}(X) + \mathcal{R}(Y)$
- **Natural conditions:** regulation
- Requirement of **extra capital, not coherent** risk measure
 \implies split (**not desirable**)

Heavy-tailedness and VaR coherency

- **Value at risk:**
 - **satisfies** A1-A3; in general, **fails** to satisfy A4 (**subadditivity**)
 - **Coherent** for **not extremely heavy-tailed** risks

$X, Y \sim S_\alpha(\sigma), \alpha > 1$ (**finite means**) \implies

$$\text{VaR}_q(X + Y) < \text{VaR}_q(X) + \text{VaR}_q(Y)$$

- **Not coherent** for **extremely heavy-tailed** risks

$X, Y \sim S_\alpha(\sigma), \alpha < 1$ (**infinite means**) \implies

$$\text{VaR}_q(X + Y) > \text{VaR}_q(X) + \text{VaR}_q(Y)$$

Superadditivity!

α -symmetric distributions and diversification

Interplay of **dependence & marginal** effects

- $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \alpha$ -**symmetric** if C.f.:
$$\psi(t) = E \exp(i \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i) = \phi[(\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}]$$
 - ϕ : c.f. generator, α : index
- Natural **extension** of **univariate stable** distributions
 - $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \alpha$ -**symmetric** \implies
 $Z_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i =_d (\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} X_1$

α -symmetric distributions and diversification

- **Spherical** distributions: $\alpha = 2$; 2-symmetric
 - $(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (RU_1, \dots, RU_n)$
 - $U = (U_1, \dots, U_n)$: uniformly distributed on $S_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 1\}$
 - $R \geq 0$: positive r.v. independent of U ; **common shock** (political, macroeconomic)
 - Multivariate **normal**
 - Multivariate t (**moments finite up to a certain order**)
 - Multivariate **stable**; C.f.: $\exp[-(\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^2)^{\alpha/2}]$

α -symmetric distributions and diversification

- $\alpha \in (0, 2]$: Models with **common shocks**
 $(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (RU_1, \dots, RU_n)$
 - i.i.d. $U_i \sim S_\alpha(\sigma)$
 - $R \geq 0$: positive r.v. independent of U_i ; **common shock** affecting all X_i
 - Exhibit both **dependence & heavy-tailedness** or skewness in **marginals**
 - $\alpha < 1$: **Infinite marginal first moments**
 - $\alpha > 1$: $E|X_i|^p < \infty$ if $ER^p < \infty$ and $E|U_i|^p < \infty$
 $ER = \infty \implies$ **infinite first moments**

α -symmetric distributions and diversification

- $\alpha > 1$: **Diversification** \implies **decrease** in riskiness; VaR: **coherent**
- $\alpha < 1$: **Diversification** \implies **increase** in riskiness; VaR: **not coherent**
- Both **dependence & heavy-tailedness** matter: **First moments** can be **infinite** in **both** worlds!

Dependence matters: Extreme examples

- **Minimize** $VaR_q(w_1X_1 + w_2X_2)$ s.t. $w_1, w_2 \geq 0, w_1 + w_2 = 1$
- **Independence:**
 - **Optimal** portfolio: $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ (**diversified**) if $\alpha > 1$
(**not extremely heavy-tailed, finite means**)
 - $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) = (1, 0)$ (**not diversified, one risk**) if $\alpha < 1$
(**extremely heavy-tailed, infinite means**)

Dependence matters: Extreme examples

- Extreme **positive dependence**: $X_1 = X_2$ (a.s.) **comonotonic risks**
 - $VaR_q(w_1X_1 + w_2X_2) = VaR_q(X_1) \forall w$
 - **Diversification: no effect** at all (similar to Cauchy) **regardless of heavy-tailedness**
- Extreme **negative dependence** $X_1 = -X_2$ (a.s.) **countermonotonic risks**
 - $VaR_q(w_1X_1 + w_2X_2) = (w_1 - w_2)VaR_q(X_1)$
 - Optimal portfolio: $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) = (1, 0)$ (**not diversified, one risk**) **regardless of heavy-tailedness**
- Optimal **portfolio choice**: affected by **both dependence &** properties of **marginals**

Copulas and dependence

- **Main idea:** **separate** effects of **dependence** from effects of **marginals**
 - What **matters** more in **portfolio choice**: **heavy-tailedness** & **skewness** or (positive or negative) **dependence**?
- **Copulas:** **functions** that **join together marginal** cdf's to form **multidimensional** cdf

Copulas and dependence

- Sklar's theorem
- Risks X, Y :
 - **Joint cdf** $H_{XY}(x, y) = P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$: affected by **dependence** and by **marginal** cdf's $F_X(x) = P(X \leq x)$ and $G_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y)$
 - $C_{XY}(u, v)$: **copula** of X, Y :

$$H_{XY}(x, y) = \underbrace{C_{XY}}_{\text{dependence}} \left(\underbrace{F_X(x), G_Y(y)}_{\text{marginals}} \right)$$

- C_{XY} : captures **all dependence** between risks X and Y

Copulas in higher dimensions

- **Similar** definition: **arbitrary number** of **risks** X_1, \dots, X_n

- **Joint** cdf

$$H_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = P(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2, \dots, X_n \leq x_n)$$

- **Marginal** cdf's: $F_1(x_1) = P(X_1 \leq x_1)$, $F_2(x_2) = P(X_2 \leq x_2)$,
..., $F_n(x_n) = P(X_n \leq x_n)$

- $C_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$: **copula** if

$$H_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \underbrace{C_{X_1, \dots, X_n}}_{\text{dependence}} \left(\underbrace{F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_n(x_n)}_{\text{marginals}} \right)$$

- **Separation** of **dependence** from effects of **marginals**:

- F_i : properties of **univariate** distributions: (unconditional)
heavy-tailedness, **skewness**, existence of **moments**, **range** of returns
- C : **dependence** properties: **long memory** vs. **short memory**,
volatility clustering, **linear** vs. **nonlinear** dependence

Copulas: Main properties

Advantages:

- **Exists for any risks** (**correlation**: finiteness of **second moments**)
- Characterizes **all dependence** properties
- **Flexibility** in **dependence modeling**
 - **Asymmetric** dependence: **Crashes** vs. **booms**
 - **Positive** vs. **negative** dependence
 - **Independence**: **Nested** as a particular case: **Product** copula, particular values of **parameter(s)**
 - **Extreme** dependence: $X = Y$ or $X = -Y \Leftrightarrow$ **extreme copulas**; **dependence** in C_{XY} varies in **between**

Copulas: Main properties

- F_X, G_Y : **continuous** $\Rightarrow C_{XY}$: **unique**
 - $C_{XY}(u, v) = H_{XY}(F_X^{-1}(u), G_Y^{-1}(v))$
 - $F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{t : F(t) \geq u\}$
(F_X, G_Y : nondecreasing but may be constant on some intervals)
 - F_X^{-1}, G_Y^{-1} : usual **inverses** for strictly increasing F_X & G_Y

Copulas: cdf's with uniform marginals

- $C(u, v)$: **copula** iff $C = \mathbf{cdf}$ of two (dependent) $Unif(0, 1)$ r.v.'s: $C(u, v) = P(U \leq u, V \leq v)$
- **Equivalent** definition: $C(u, v)$: **copula** if
 - $C(u, v)$: nondecreasing in u & v
 - $C(1, v) = v, C(u, 1) = u$
 - $u_1 \leq u_2, v_1 \leq v_2 \implies$

$$C(u_2, v_2) + C(u_1, v_1) - C(u_1, v_2) - C(u_2, v_1) \geq 0$$

C —**volume** of any rectangle: **nonnegative**
 C induces a **probability measure** on $[0, 1]^2$

Multivariate case

- A **copula** $C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ exists for any n dependent risks X_1, \dots, X_n
 - For any **joint cdf** $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with **one-dimensional marginal cdf's** $F_1(x_1), \dots, F_n(x_n)$
- C is **unique** if **univariate cdf's** $F_1(x_1), \dots, F_n(x_n)$ are **continuous**
 - $F_1^{-1}(x_1), \dots, F_n^{-1}(x_n)$: **inverses of marginal cdf's** $F_1(x_1), \dots, F_n(x_n)$
 - $C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = H(F_1^{-1}(x_1), \dots, F_n^{-1}(x_n))$
 - **Inversion** method for **constructing** copulas
- **Equivalent** definition: $C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$: **copula** iff it is a **joint cdf** of a **random vector** U_1, \dots, U_n with **uniform** $(0, 1)$ **marginals**: $C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = P(U_1 \leq u_1, \dots, U_n \leq u_n)$

Copulas: Main properties

- X, Y : **independent** $\Leftrightarrow H_{XY}(x, y) = F_X(x)G_Y(y) \Leftrightarrow C_{UV}(u, v) = uv$
 - **Minimal** dependence
- **Invariant** under **increasing transformations**:
 - $f(X), g(Y)$, **increasing** f, g : **same copula** C_{XY}
 - **Not true** for **correlation**: $\rho[f(X), g(Y)] \neq \rho(X, Y)$
 - Take $f(x) = F_X^{-1}(x)$, $g(x) = G_Y^{-1}(x)$
 - $f(X), g(Y) \sim Unif(0, 1)$ & **same copula** $C_{XY}(u, v)$
 - But **all moments** of $f(X), g(Y)$ **exist**: **solution** to **heavy-tailedness** problem!
 - Contrast to **correlation**: can deal with dependence under **infinite variances**

Copulas: Examples

- **Product (independence)** copula: $C(u, v) = uv$
- **Clayton:** $C^{Clayton}(u, v) = (u^{-\theta} + v^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$, $\theta > 0$
- **Gumbel:** $C^{Gumbel}(u, v) = \exp\left(-\left[(-\ln u)^\theta + (-\ln v)^\theta\right]\right)^{-1/\theta}$
- **Frank:** $C^{Frank}(u, v) = -\frac{1}{\theta} \ln\left(1 + \frac{(e^{-\theta u} - 1)(e^{-\theta v} - 1)}{e^{-\theta} - 1}\right)$
- **Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern (EFGM)** copulas:
 $C(u, v) = uv(1 + \theta(1 - u)(1 - v))$, $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$
 - θ : dependence parameter

Copulas: construction

Inversion method

$$C(u, v) = H\left[F_X^{-1}(u), G_Y^{-1}(v)\right]$$

- **Gaussian** copula

- $H(x, y) = \Phi_\rho(x, y)$: **bivariate normal**, correlation ρ
- $F_X, G_Y \sim F_N$: standard **univariate normal** cdf
- $C^{Gaussian}(u, v, \rho) = \Phi_\rho\left[F_N^{-1}(u), F_N^{-1}(v)\right]$

- **Student t** copula

- $F = T_{\rho, \nu}(x, y)$: **bivariate Student t** , correlation ρ , ν degree of freedom
- $F_X, G_Y \sim t_\nu$: **univariate Student** cdf with ν d.f.'s
- $C^{Student}(u, v, \rho, \nu) = T_{\rho, \nu}\left[t_\nu^{-1}(u), t_\nu^{-1}(v)\right]$

Copulas: construction

- **Multivariate** analogues (Σ : **correlation** matrix, ν : d.f.)

- $C_{\Sigma}^{Gaussian}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \Phi_{\Sigma} \left[F_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(u_n) \right]$

- $C_{\Sigma}^{Student}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = T_{\Sigma, \nu} \left[t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_n) \right]$

Copulas: construction

Archimedean copulas: $C(u, v, \phi) = \phi^{-1}[\phi(u) + \phi(v)]$

ϕ : continuous strictly decreasing convex function (**generator**)

- $C^{Clayton} : \phi_{\theta}(t) = \frac{1}{\theta}(t^{-\theta} - 1)$
- $C^{Gumbel} : \phi_{\theta}(t) = (-\ln t)^{\theta}$
- $C^{Frank} : \phi_{\theta}(t) = -\ln\left(\frac{e^{-\theta t} - 1}{e^{-\theta} - 1}\right)$

First application: Probability of “perfect storm”

- **Important:** Are **crashes (booms)** in markets X & Y **likely to occur together?**
 - $x = VaR_q(X), y = VaR_q(Y)$: **disaster** levels in markets X & Y
 - **How likely** is the **event** $\{X > x\} \cap \{Y > y\}$ (“**perfect storm**”)
 - Need to **characterize** $P(X > x, Y > y)$: **Joint survival function**
 - Note $P(X > x, Y > y) = P(U > q, V > q) = \bar{C}_{XY}(q, q)$
 - $U, V \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$, **joint cdf** $C_{XY}(u, v)$
 - $\bar{C}_{XY}(u, v) = P(U > u, V > v)$: **joint survival function** of U, V
 - **Easy to find** if **copula is known:**
 $\bar{C}_{XY}(u, v) = 1 - u - v + C(u, v)$

Hoeffding-Fréchet bounds: best & worst dependence

When the **probability** of “**perfect storm**”

$P(X > x, Y > y) = \overline{C}_{XY}(q, q)$ **maximal** or **minimal**?

- Answer: **Hoeffding-Fréchet** bounds
 - **Maximal** under **perfect positive** dependence
 - **Minimal** under **perfect negative** dependence
- $C_L(u, v) = \max(u + v - 1, 0) \leq C(u, v) \leq \min(u, v) = C_U(u, v)$
- Same ordering: **Survival functions; joint crash** probabilities ($u, v = q$)
 - $\overline{C}_L(u, v) = \max(1 - u - v, 0) \leq \overline{C}(u, v) \leq \min(1 - u, 1 - v) = \overline{C}_U(u, v)$
 - $\overline{C}_L(q, q) = \max(1 - 2q, 0) \leq \overline{C}(q, q) \leq 1 - q = \overline{C}_U(q, q)$

Hoeffding-Fréchet bounds: best & worst dependence

- C_L and C_U : **perfect dependence** copulas
- $(X, Y) \sim C_U(u, v) \Leftrightarrow (X, Y) = (f(Z), g(Z)), f \nearrow, g \nearrow,$
 X, Y : **comonotonic**
- $(X, Y) \sim C_L(u, v) \Leftrightarrow (X, Y) = (f(Z), g(Z)), f \nearrow, g \searrow,$
 X, Y : **countermonotonic**

Bounds in multivariate case

- $C_L(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \max(u_1 + \dots + u_n + 1 - n, 0) \leq C(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq \min(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = C_U(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$
 - C_U : **copula** $\forall n \geq 2$
 - C_L : **no longer** copula for $n > 2$
 - However, the **lower bound** is **sharp**

Bounds in multivariate case

- Similar bounds: **Survival function (SF)**

$$\bar{C}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = P(U_1 > u_1, \dots, U_n > u_n)$$

- $x_i = VaR_q(X_i)$: **disaster levels** in markets $i = 1, \dots, n$
- $P_{Crash} = P(U_1 > q, \dots, U_n > q) = \bar{C}(q, \dots, q)$: **Probability** that **crash occurs** at the same **in all n markets**
- Probability of a “**perfect storm**”

$$\bar{C}_L(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \max(1 - u_1 - \dots - u_n, 0) \leq P_{Crash} \leq$$

$$\bar{C}_U(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \min(1 - u_1, \dots, 1 - u_n)$$

- \bar{C}_L : **SF** of $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim C_L$
- \bar{C}_U : **SF** of $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim C_U$

Tail dependence

- **Will crash (boom) in market X lead to a crash (boom) in market Y ?**
- Are **extreme values** of X & Y (**very large losses**) **likely to occur together?**
- **How likely** is $X \nearrow (X \searrow) \implies Y \nearrow (Y \searrow)$?
- **Loss probability $q \rightarrow 1-$ $\implies VaR_q(X), VaR_q(Y) \rightarrow \infty$:**
extremely large values of X & Y
 - Coefficient of **upper tail** dependence:
$$\lambda_U = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1-} P[Y > VaR_q(Y) | X > VaR_q(X)]$$
- **Loss probability $q \rightarrow 1-$ $\implies VaR_q(X), VaR_q(Y) \rightarrow -\infty$:**
extremely small values of X & Y
 - Coefficient of **lower tail** dependence:
$$\lambda_L = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} P[Y < VaR_q(Y) | X < VaR_q(X)]$$

- **Copula** representations $(U, V) \sim C_{XY}(u, v)$
 $\bar{C}_{XY}(u, v) = P(U > u, V > v) = C_{XY}(1 - u, 1 - v) = 1 - u - v + C_{XY}(u, v)$
 - $\lambda_U = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1-} \frac{P(U > q, V > q)}{1 - q} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\bar{C}(q, q)}{1 - q}$
 - $\lambda_L = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(U \leq q, V \leq q)}{q} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{C(q, q)}{q}$
- λ_U, λ_L : **Measures of asymptotic** dependence

Different copulas \implies different tail dependence

- $C^{Gaussian}(u, v, \rho) : \lambda_U = \lambda_L = 0$ iff $\rho < 1$; $= 1$ iff $\rho = 1$;
Asymptotic independence $\forall \rho < 1$
- $C^{Gumbel}(u, v, \theta)$
 $\lambda_L = 0, \lambda_U = 2 - 2^{1/\theta}$: **upper tail dependence** provided $\theta \neq 1$
- $C^{Clayton}(u, v, \theta)$
 $\lambda_L = 2^{-1/\theta}$ for $\theta > 0, \lambda_U = 0$ for $\theta > 0$: **lower tail dependence** provided $\theta \neq 1$
 - $C^{Gumbel}, C^{Clayton}$: models for **asymmetric** dependence
 - **More dependence** in market **upturns or downturns**

Different copulas \implies different tail dependence

- $C^{Student}(u, v, n, \rho)$

$$\lambda_U = \lambda_L 2P(t_{n+1} > \frac{\sqrt{n+1}\sqrt{1-\rho}}{\sqrt{1+\rho}}):$$

Tail dependence for all $\rho > -1$

Asymptotic dependence in tails even for zero correlations

- **Archimedean copulas:** $\phi'(0) \neq 0 \implies \lambda_U = 0$

$$\phi'(0) = 0 \implies \lambda_U = 2 - 2 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi'(2s)}$$

$$\lambda_L = 2 \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi'(2s)}$$

Copula-based dependence measures

- **Copula-based dependence measures: functionals of copulas**; inherit **nice properties** of **copula** functions
 - **Invariance** under increasing **transformations**
 - **Exist regardless of** properties of **marginal** distributions (**heavy-tailedness**, existence of moments)
 - **Independence & extreme** positive or negative **dependence** (**Hoeffding-Fréchet** bounds): typically **nested within**

Copula-based dependence measures

- $X \sim F(x), Y \sim G(y), X, Y \sim C(u, v)$
- **Spearman's rank correlation ρ_S**
 - **Main idea:** $F(X), G(Y) \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1) \Rightarrow$ all moments are finite \Rightarrow **Rank correlation: always defined**
 - $\rho_S(X, Y) = \text{Corr}(F(X), G(Y))$
 - **Functional** of copula:
$$C(u, v) \rightarrow \rho_S(X, Y) = \rho_S(C) = 12 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (C(u, v) - uv) dudv$$
 - ρ_S : **"signed" deviation from independence (product)**
copula uv

Copula-based dependence measures

- **Kendall's rank correlation**

- $\rho_\tau(X, Y) = P[(X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) > 0] - P[(X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) < 0]$

- $\{(X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) > 0\}$: **Boom** in $i \approx$ **Boom** in j ; **Crash** in $i \approx$ **Crash** in j

- $\{(X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 - Y_2) < 0\}$: **Boom** in $i \approx$ **Crash** in j ; **Crash** in $i \approx$ **Boom** in j

$(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2)$: **independent copies** of (X, Y)

$(X_i, Y_i) \sim C(u, v), X_i \sim F(x), Y_i \sim G(y)$

- Probability of **concordance** minus probability of **discordance**

- **Functional** of copula:

$$C(u, v) \rightarrow \rho_\tau(X, Y) = \rho_S(C) = 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C(u, v) dC(u, v) - 1$$

Rank correlations: main properties

- **Properties** $k(X, Y) = \rho_S(X, Y)$ or $\rho_T(X, Y)$
 - X, Y : **independent** (product copula $C(u, v) = uv$) $\Rightarrow k(X, Y) = 0$
 - **Converse is not true** in general (counterexample: spherical distributions)
 - $-1 \leq k(X, Y) \leq 1$: any **rank correlation** in $[-1, 1]$ can be **obtained (not true for linear correlation)**
 - $k(X, Y) = -1 \Leftrightarrow C(u, v) = C_L(u, v) = \max(u + v - 1, 0) \Leftrightarrow (X, Y) = (f(Z), g(Z)), f \nearrow, g \nearrow, X, Y$: **comonotonic**
 - $k(X, Y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow C(u, v) = C_U(u, v) = \min(u, v) \Leftrightarrow (X, Y) = (f(Z), g(Z)), f \nearrow, g \searrow, X, Y$: **countermonotonic**
- $C^{\text{Gaussian}}(u, v, \rho)$: $\rho_S(X, Y) = \frac{6}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\rho}{2}$,
 $\rho_T(X, Y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \rho$ (**useful** in estimation)
- **Copula estimates** \Rightarrow **inference** on ρ_S & ρ_T

Related measures of dependence

- **Natural conditions** to be imposed on a **dependence measure** (Renyi, 1959):
 - C1. $\delta(X, Y) = \delta(Y, X)$ (**symmetry**)
 - C2. $-1 \leq \delta(X, Y) \leq 1$ (**normalization**)
 - C3. $\delta(X, Y) \Leftrightarrow X, Y$ **comonotonic** $\delta(X, Y) = -1 \Leftrightarrow X, Y$ **countermonotonic**
 - C4. f, g : strictly **monotone**: $\delta(f(X), g(Y)) = \delta(X, Y)$ (**invariance**)
 - δ : **marginally free**; the same as corresponding **measure on copula**; **functional** of copula
 - C5: $\delta(X, Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X, Y$: **independent**
- **Linear correlation**: satisfies C1 & C2 only
- **Kendall's tau** ρ_τ & **Spearman's** ρ_S : satisfy C1-C4 and (\Leftarrow) in C5

- Different **functionals of copulas**: other **measures of dependence**

- Schweizer & Wolff (1981)

- $\delta_1(X, Y) = 12 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |C(u, v) - uv| dudv$

- $\delta_2(X, Y) = \left[90 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (C(u, v) - uv)^2 dudv \right]^{1/2}$

- $\delta_3(X, Y) = 4 \sup_{u, v \in [0, 1]^2} |C(u, v) - uv|$

- **Distances to independence**

- Satisfy C1-C5

- **Estimates of copulas** \Rightarrow **estimates for functionals & dependence measures**

- **Drawback**: Constrained to be **nonnegative** \Rightarrow **cannot differentiate** between **positive & negative dependence**

Further applications: Bounds on portfolio VaR & expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims

- Fix weights $w_1, w_2 \geq 0, w_1 + w_2 = 1$
- $X \sim F_X(x), Y \sim G_Y(y)$
- Determine **extrema** $VaR_q(Z_w) = VaR_q(w_1X + w_2Y)$ over all possible **dependence** structures for X, Y
- What is the **best (worst)** VaR portfolio scenario?

Further applications: Bounds on portfolio VaR & expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims

- Key: **comonotonic** & **countermonotonic** copulas C_U & C_L

- $VaR_q(M) \leq VaR_q(Z_w) \leq VaR_q(L)$

- Risk L : cdf

$$P(L \leq z) = \sup_{w_1x+w_2y=z} C_L \left[F_X(x), G_Y(y) \right] =$$
$$\sup_{w_1x+w_2y=z} \max \left[F_X(x) + G_Y(y) - 1, 0 \right]$$

- Risk M : cdf

$$P(M \leq z) = \inf_{w_1x+w_2y=z} \max \left[F_X(x) + G_Y(y), 1 \right]$$

Contrasting VaR & correlation

- Note: **Worst case** portfolio VaR \Leftrightarrow **comonotonic** $X, Y \sim C_U$ (**maximal correlation** $\rho(X, Y)$)!
- Crucial **difference** from **variance** as measure of risk:
 - $\sigma^2(w_1X + w_2Y) = w_1^2\sigma^2(X) + w_2^2\sigma^2(Y) + 2w_1w_2\rho(X, Y)\sigma^2(X)\sigma^2(Y)$
 - **Maximal** when $\rho(X, Y)$: **maximal**
 - **Breaks down** when **VaR** is used as **measure of riskiness** of portfolio return Z_w !
 - Corollary: **option & contingent claim bounds**
 - $EU(M) \leq EU(Z_w) \leq EU(L) \forall$ increasing U
 - $U(x) = \max(x - K, 0)$: **bounds** on **expected payoffs** & **fair prices** of European call **option**
 - Arbitrary **contingent claims**; **utility** bounds!

Natural restrictions on dependence: positive vs. negative quadrant dependence

Positive quadrant dependence

- **Similar** conclusions: **multivariate** case $n > 2$
- **Sharper restrictions** on copulas (on dependence)

- $\tilde{C}_L(u, v) \leq C(u, v) \leq \tilde{C}_U(u, v)$

- **Idea:** take **independence** as **one of bounds**

- $\tilde{C}_L(u, v) = uv = C_{\text{independence}}(u, v) \Rightarrow$
 $uv \leq C_{XY}(u, v) \leq C_U(u, v) = \min(u, v) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\overline{C}_{\text{independence}}(u, v) = (1 - u)(1 - v) \leq \overline{C}(u, v) \leq \min(1 - u, 1 - v) = \overline{C}_U(u, v)$$

- **Positive quadrant** dependence (**PQD**): **Crashes** are **more likely** to **occur together than** under **independence**

Negative quadrant dependence

- $\tilde{C}_U(u, v) = uv \Rightarrow$

$$C_L(u, v) = \max(u + v - 1, 0) \leq C_{XY}(u, v) \leq uv \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\overline{C}_L(u, v) = \max(1 - u - v, 0) \leq \overline{C}(u, v) \leq (1 - u)(1 - v) =$$

$$\overline{C}_{\text{independence}}(u, v)$$

- **Negative quadrant** dependence: **Crashes** are **less likely** to **occur together than** under **independence**

Crash in market X **is likely** to occur **together** with **boom** in Y

Multivariate quadrant dependence

- **Similar definition:** $n > 2$
 - **Positive upper quadrant dependence (PUQD):**

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{C}(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= P(U_1 > u_1, \dots, U_n > u_n) \geq \\ (1 - u_1) \dots (1 - u_n) &= \bar{C}_{independence}(u_1, \dots, u_n)\end{aligned}$$

- **Positive lower quadrant dependence (PLQD):**

$$\begin{aligned}C(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= P(U_1 \leq u_1, \dots, U_n \leq u_n) \geq \\ u_1 \dots u_n &= C_{independence}(u_1, \dots, u_n)\end{aligned}$$

- **Negative upper & lower quadrant dependence (NUPD & NLQD):** reversals of inequalities
- Note that $PUQD \Leftrightarrow PLQD$ ($NUQD \Leftrightarrow NLQD$) for $n > 2$

Multivariate quadrant dependence

- **PLQD: Partial sums & portfolio returns**

- X_1, \dots, X_n : independent risks

- $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i X_i$: **PLQD**

$$P(S_1 \leq x_1, S_2 \leq x_2, \dots, S_n \leq x_n) \geq$$

$$P(S_1 \leq x_1)P(S_2 \leq x_2)\dots P(S_n \leq x_n)$$

(Robbins, 1954)

Association

- **Positive & negative association**

- X, Y : **positively (negatively) associated** if

$$\text{Cov}[f(X, Y), g(X, Y)] \geq 0 (\leq 0)$$

\forall **increasing** (in each argument) $f \& g$

- Random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$: **positively (negatively)**

associated if $\text{Cov}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n), g(X_1, \dots, X_n)] \geq 0$

\forall **increasing** $f \& g$

- Stronger than **PUOD (NUOD)**

- **Positive association** \Rightarrow **PUOD**

- **Negative association** \Rightarrow **NUOD**

Association

- **Negative association:**
 - Negatively correlated normal, multinomial, multivariate hypergeometric, Dirichlet, permutation distribution
- **Positive association:**
 - **Linear processes** with nonnegative coefficients
$$x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \epsilon_{t-j},$$
$$\epsilon_t : \text{i.i.d.}$$

Bounds for expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims

- **Risks** X_1, \dots, X_n , portfolio **weights** w_1, \dots, w_n
- $Ef(Z_w)$: **Measure of riskiness** of Z_w
 - $f(x) = [\max(x - \mu, 0)]^2$: **semivariance**
- $Ef(Z_w)$: **Expected payoff** of a **contingent claim** (CC) written **on portfolio** with return $Z_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i$
 - $f(x) = \max(x - K, 0)$: payoff of **European call option**
- **Fair prices: Discounted** expected payoffs: $e^{-rT} Ef(Z_w)$
- Problem: what is **riskiest** dependence? **Most expensive** dependence?
- **Maximize** $Ef(Z_w)$ over all X_1, \dots, X_n with prescribed **dependence structure**

Complete solution: negatively associated risks

- X_1, \dots, X_n : **negatively associated** with univariate marginals $F_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, F_{X_n}(x_n)$
- ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n : independent copies of X_i
 - $Z_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i$: return on portfolio of **dependent** risks
 - $\tilde{Z}_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \xi_i$: return on portfolio of **independent** risks
- **Independence: worst** case scenario for contingent claims with convex payoff function (**most expensive!**):

Expected payoffs: $Ef(Z_w) \leq Ef(\tilde{Z}_w) \quad \forall \text{ convex } f$

(Shao, 2000); $E(\cdot)$: expectation w/r to true probability measure

Fair prices: $e^{-rT} Ef(Z_w) \leq e^{-rT} Ef(\tilde{Z}_w) \quad \forall \text{ convex } f$

$E(\cdot)$: expectation w/r to equivalent probability measure

Bounds for expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims

- Important particular cases: $f(x) = \max(x - K, 0)$: **bounds** for **European call** option on Z_w
- **Risk bounds**
 - E.g., **semivariance** $f(x) = [\max(x - \mu, 0)]^2$, $\mu = EX_i = E\xi_i$
 - **Independence = most risky** portfolio
 - **Expected shortfall**
- **Positive association**: typically, **constants change** (Doukhan & Louhichi, 1999; Nze & Doukhan, 2004)

Copulas & time series

Important **application** of **copulas**: **characterization** of **Markov processes** of arbitrary order

- **Markov processes**: completely **characterized** by bivariate **copulas** and **marginals** (Darsow, Nguyen and Olsen, 1992)

- $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$: **Markov process** if $\forall t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n < t$,

$$P(X_t < x_t | X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_{n-1}}, X_{t_n}) = P(X_t < x_t | X_{t_n})$$

- **Today's stock price** is **affected** only by the **price in previous period**

- *—**product** of copulas

$$A, B : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1] :$$

$$(A * B)(x, y) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial A(x, t)}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial B(t, y)}{\partial t} dt$$

- \star —**product** $A : [0, 1]^m \rightarrow [0, 1], B : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] :$

$$A \star B(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) = \int_0^{x_m} \frac{\partial A(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \xi)}{\partial \xi} \cdot \frac{\partial B(\xi, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-1})}{\partial \xi} d\xi$$

- X_t : **Markov** iff

$$C_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = C_{t_1 t_2} \star C_{t_2 t_3} \star \dots \star C_{t_{n-1} t_n}$$

- Similar **characterizations**: hold for **Markov processes** of **arbitrary order** (Ibragimov, 2005)

Alternative approach to modeling Markov processes

- Instead of **initial distribution** & **transition** probabilities:
 - Prescribe **marginals** & $(k + 1)$ -**copulas**
 - Generate **copulas of higher order** & finite-dimensional **cdf's**
- **Advantage: separation** of properties of **marginals** (fat-tailedness) & **dependence** properties (conditional symmetry, m -dependence, r -independence, mixing)

Inversion method: **New** k -Markov with **dependence similar** to a given Markov process **Different marginals**

- X_t : **stationary** k -Markov
($k + 1$)-cdf $\tilde{F}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$, 1-cdf F
 \Rightarrow ($k + 1$)-copula:

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) = \tilde{F}\left(F^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F^{-1}(u_{k+1})\right)$$

- Another 1-cdf G : **Stationary** k -Markov, **same** dependence as $\{X_t\}$, **different** 1-marginal G :
($k + 1$)-copula:

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) = \tilde{F}\left(G^{-1}(u_1), \dots, G^{-1}(u_{k+1})\right)$$

- Representation \Rightarrow **Higher-order copulas & cdf's**
- Example: **Processes** based on **Gaussian copulas C** & **non-normal** marginals G

Dependence: similar to **Brownian motion**

Non-normal univariate distributions

Copulas: simulation

- **Goal:** Simulate a sample $(U_i, V_i) \sim C(u, v)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$
- **Key:** $(U, V) \sim C(u, v) \Rightarrow$ **Conditional cdf** of V given $U = u$ is
$$C_u(v) = P(V \leq v | U = u) = \frac{\partial C(u, v)}{\partial u}$$
 - Step 1: Generate $U, Z \sim$ i.i.d. $Unif(0, 1)$
 - Step 2: Calculate $V = C_U^{-1}(Z)$
 - $(U, V) \sim C(u, v)$
 - Repeat N times to get a sample (U_i, V_i) , $i = 1, \dots, N$

Copulas: simulation

- One can use the sample to simulate **copula functionals**

$$G(C) = Eg(U, V) \text{ via } \hat{G} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(U_i, V_i)$$

- **Kendall's tau:**

$$C(u, v) \rightarrow \rho_\tau(X, Y) = \rho_S(C) = 4 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 C(u, v) dC(u, v) - 1$$

- $G(C) = EC(U, V) - 1$, $g(u, v) = C(u, v)$

- Simulate via $\hat{G} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C(U_i, V_i) - 1$

Copulas: simulation

- **Similar approach: functionals of copula & marginals** (say, option prices)
- Simulate, in addition to copula $X_i \sim F_X(x)$, $Y_i \sim G_Y(y)$:
 - **Functionals** of random vector (X, Y) with **prescribed copulas (option prices)**
 - Can **vary** both **copula C & marginals** \Rightarrow analysis of **robustness** of **pricing formulae** to **dependence & marginal** properties

Copulas: simulation

- **Easily implementable** provided **analytical expression** for $C_u^{-1}(z)$ (\Leftrightarrow **solution** v to $C_u(v) = z$) is found
Easy to determine for **commonly used copulas** on, e.g.,
Mathematica

- Example: **Simulating Clayton** copulas

$$C^{Clayton}(u, v) = (u^{-\theta} + v^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$$

- Calculate $C_u(v) = \frac{\partial C(u, v)}{\partial u} = \left[1 + u^\theta(v^{-\theta} - 1)\right]^{-(1+\theta)/\theta}$

- Solve $C_u(v) = z$ for v :

$$C_u^{-1}(z) = v = \left((z^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}} - 1)u^{-\theta} + 1\right)^{-1/\theta}$$

- Generate $U, Z \sim$ i.i.d. $Unif(0, 1)$

- Set $V = \left((Z^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}} - 1)U^{-\theta} + 1\right)^{-1/\theta}$

- $(U, V) \sim C^{Clayton}(u, v)$

Copulas: simulation

Multivariate case: similar approach

- Simulate N random vectors $(U_1^i, \dots, U_n^i) \sim C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$,
 $i = 1, \dots, N$
- **Key:** $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \sim C(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Rightarrow$ **Conditional cdf** of U_k
given U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} is

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(u_k | u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) &= \\ P(U_k \leq u_k | U_1 = u_1, \dots, U_{k-1} = u_{k-1}) &= \\ \frac{\partial^{k-1} C_k(u_1, \dots, u_k)}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_{k-1}} \bigg/ \frac{\partial^{k-1} C_{k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$C_k(u_1, \dots, u_k) = C(u_1, \dots, u_k, 1, \dots, 1)$: k -**variate** marginals,
 $k = 2, \dots, n - 1$

Multivariate case: similar approach

- Simulate $U_1 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$
- Simulate $U_2 \sim C_2(u_2|u_1)$
 - Simulate $Z_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$
 - Set $U_2 = C_2^{-1}(Z_2|U_1)$
- ...
- Simulate $U_n \sim C_n(u_n|u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$
 - Simulate Z_n
 - Set $U_n \sim C_n^{-1}(Z_n|U_1, \dots, U_{n-1})$
- $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$

Copulas: simulation

Archimedean copulas

- $C(u, v) = \phi^{-1}[\phi(u) + \phi(v)] \Rightarrow \phi(C) = \phi(u) + \phi(v) \Rightarrow \phi'(C)C_u = \phi'(u)$
 - Step 1: Generate $U, Z \sim \text{i.i.d. } Unif(0, 1)$
 - Step 2: Calculate $W = C(U, Z) = (\phi')^{-1}\left(\frac{\phi'(U)}{\partial C(U, Z)/\partial u}\right)$
 - Step 3: Calculate $V = \phi^{-1}[\phi(W) - \phi(U)]$
 - $(U, V) \sim C(u, v) = \phi^{-1}[\phi(u) + \phi(v)]$

Copulas: simulation

Inverse copulas: simple solution

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = F \left[F_1^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_n^{-1}(u_n) \right]$$

- Simulate $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F$
- Set $U_i = F_i^{-1}(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$

Copulas: simulation

- Example: **Gaussian** copula

- $C_{\Sigma}^{\text{Gaussian}}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \Phi_{\Sigma}(F_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(u_n))$

$\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$: **covariance** matrix

$R = (\rho_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$: corresponding **correlation** matrix

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}} = \frac{\text{Corr}(Y_i, Y_j)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Y_i)\text{Var}(Y_j)}}$$

$$Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$

$$R = AA^T \Rightarrow RZ \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R)$$

$$Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \sim \text{i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Gaussian copula: simulation

- Find the **correlation** matrix R corresponding to Σ
- Find the **Cholesky decomposition** of R : $R = AA^T$
(implemented in standard packages)
- Generate i.i.d. $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \sim \text{i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
- Set $X = AZ^T$
- Set $U_i = \Phi(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$
- $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \sim C_{\Sigma}^{\text{Gaussian}}$

Copulas: simulation

- Example: **Student** copula

$$C_{\Sigma}^{\text{Student}}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = T_{\Sigma, \nu} \left[t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_{\nu}^{-1}(u_n) \right]$$

- Find the **correlation** matrix R corresponding to Σ
- Find the **Cholesky decomposition** of R : $R = AA^T$
- Simulate i.i.d. $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \sim \text{i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
- Set $Y = AZ^T$
- Generate a r.v. $s \sim \chi^2(\nu)$ independent of Z_i
- Set $X = \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{\sqrt{s}} Y$
- Set $U_i = t_{\nu}(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$
- $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \sim C_{\Sigma}^{\text{Student}}$

Copulas: estimation

- Problem: Given **observations** (X_i, Y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, of a **random vector** $(X, Y) \sim C(u, v)$, **estimate** C
 - (X_i, Y_i) : **i.i.d. observations** on (X, Y) = two different **exchange rates**
 - $Y_i = X_{i+1}$: **Dependent stationary time series** X_1, X_2, \dots , generated by a copula C (**Markov process**) C : copula of X_t, X_{t+1}
The same exchange rate = dependence **over time**
(asymptotics: more complicated)
- **Parametric, semiparametric**: Know that $C = C_{\theta_0} \in \{C_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$
Goal: estimate θ_0
- **Nonparametric** procedures

Parametric estimation for copulas

Exact maximum likelihood

- θ : **copula** parameter C_θ
 β, γ : parameters of **marginals** $X \sim F_\beta, f_\beta, Y \sim G_\gamma, g_\gamma$
Joint cdf: $H_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(x, y) = C_\theta(F_\beta(x), G_\gamma(y))$
- Form **log-likelihood** for a **sample** based on $H_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(x, y)$
- **Maximize** it w/r to θ, β, γ

Exact maximum likelihood

- **Idea:** choose $\hat{\theta}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$ for which the **likelihood** of observing the given **sample** $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$, is **maximal**
- **Cdf** $H_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(x, y) = C_{\theta}[F_{\beta}(x), G_{\gamma}(y)] \Rightarrow$

$$\text{Density } f_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(x, y) = \frac{\partial^2 C_{\theta}[F_{\beta}(x), G_{\gamma}(y)]}{\partial u \partial v} f_{\beta}(x) g_{\gamma}(y) = c_{\theta}[F_{\beta}(x), G_{\gamma}(y)] f_{\beta}(x) g_{\gamma}(y)$$

- $c_{\theta}(u, v) = \frac{\partial^2 C_{\theta}(u, v)}{\partial u \partial v}$: **copula density**
- f_{β}, g_{γ} : **marginal densities**

Exact maximum likelihood

- **Likelihood for sample** (X_i, Y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$ (**joint density**)

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) &= f_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1) \dots f_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_n, Y_n) = \\ &= c_{\theta} [F_{\beta}(X_1), G_{\gamma}(Y_1)] \dots c_{\theta} [F_{\beta}(X_n), G_{\gamma}(Y_n)] \times \\ &= f_{\beta}(X_1) \dots f_{\beta}(X_n) g_{\gamma}(Y_1) \dots g_{\gamma}(Y_n) \end{aligned}$$

- Joint **copula density** \times joint **marginal density**

Exact maximum likelihood

- **Log-likelihood**

$$l_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) = \log L_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) =$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n c_{\theta} [F_{\beta}(X_t), G_{\gamma}(Y_t)]}_{\text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{copula}}(\theta, \beta, \gamma)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n f_{\beta}(X_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n g_{\gamma}(Y_t)}_{\text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{marginals}}(\beta, \gamma)} =$$

$$\text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{copula}}(\theta, \beta, \gamma) \quad + \quad \text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{marginals}}(\beta, \gamma)$$

- Key: **Separation** of **dependence** from **marginals** by **copula** approach

Exact maximum likelihood for copulas

- **Maximum likelihood** estimates:
 - Choose as an estimate of (θ, β, γ) their values that **maximize log-likelihood** $l_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$
 - $(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}, \hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\gamma}_{MLE}) = \text{Argmax}_{\theta, \beta, \gamma} l_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$

Exact maximum likelihood for copulas

- **Consistent**

$$\delta_n^{MLE} = (\hat{\theta}_{MLE}, \hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\gamma}_{MLE}) \rightarrow_P \delta = (\theta_{MLE}, \beta_{MLE}, \gamma_{MLE})$$

as **sample size** $n \rightarrow \infty$

- **Distribution of estimate** δ_n^{MLE} : **concentrates** around **true** δ
- **Asymptotic normality**: $\sqrt{n}(\delta_n^{MLE} - \delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \mathfrak{S}^{-1}(\delta))$
 $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$: Fisher's information matrix
 - Distributional **shape**: **normal**
 - **Key** to constructing **confidence** intervals for $\delta = (\theta, \beta, \gamma)$ and **testing**
- **Efficient** (smallest asymptotic variance)

Two-stage parametric estimation for copulas: IFM

- **Drawback of exact MLE:** can be very **computationally expensive**, especially in **higher dimensions**
 - Needs to **estimate jointly** parameters of **marginals** (β, γ) and those of **copula** (θ)
- **Computationally easier** procedure: **two-stage** estimation of parameters = **Inference for the Margins (IFM)** estimation (Joe and Xu, 1996)

Two-stage parametric estimation for copulas: IFM

- **Log-likelihood**

$$l_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) = \log L_{\theta, \beta, \gamma}(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) =$$
$$\underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n c_{\theta}[F_{\beta}(X_t), G_{\gamma}(Y_t)]}_{\text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{copula}}(\theta, \beta, \gamma)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n f_{\beta}(X_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n g_{\gamma}(Y_t)}_{\text{Loglikelihood}_{\text{marginals}}(\beta, \gamma)} =$$

- Key: **Separation of dependence from marginals by copula approach**

Two-stage parametric estimation for copulas: IFM

- Idea: First, **maximize** Loglikelihood_{marginals}(β, γ) w/r to **marginal parameters** (β, γ): Obtain ($\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$)
- **Maximize** Loglikelihood_{copula}($\gamma, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$) w/r to γ : Obtain **copula parameter** estimate $\hat{\gamma}$
- Note: **Separation** of log-likelihood (dependence from marginals) is the **key**

- **Stage 1. Estimate**

$$(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta, \gamma} \operatorname{Loglikelihood}_{\text{marginals}}(\beta, \gamma) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta, \gamma} \sum_{t=1}^n f_{\beta}(X_t) + \sum_{t=1}^n g_{\gamma}(Y_t)$$

- **Stage 2. Replace β & γ in $\operatorname{Loglikelihood}_{\text{copula}}(\theta, \beta, \gamma)$ by the estimates in first stage and estimate**

- $\hat{\gamma} = \operatorname{argmax} \operatorname{Loglikelihood}_{\text{copula}}(\theta, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}) = \operatorname{argmax} \sum_{t=1}^n c_{\theta}[F_{\hat{\beta}}(X_t), G_{\hat{\gamma}}(Y_t)]$

- $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}) = (\hat{\theta}_{IFM}, \hat{\beta}_{IFM}, \hat{\gamma}_{IFM}) = \delta_{IFM}$

- Under **regularity** conditions: **asymptotically normal** (Joe, 1997): $\sqrt{n}(\delta_{IFM} - \delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, G^{-1}(\delta))$
 $G(\delta)$: Godambe **information matrix**

Semiparametric estimation

- Genest, Ghoudi & Rivest (1995)
- **Two-stage** estimation
 - Estimate **marginals nonparametrically**: $\hat{F}_n(x)$ & $\hat{G}_n(x)$
 - Estimate **copula parameter θ** by **maximizing** Loglikelihood_{copula}(θ) with marginals **replaced** by their **estimates**
- **Empirical cdf's** $\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x)$,
 $\hat{G}_n(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(Y_t \leq y)$, $I(\cdot)$: indicator function
 $\hat{F}_n(X_i)$: **fraction** of X'_t s that are **not greater** than X_i ;
 $\hat{F}_n(Y_i)$: **fraction** of Y'_t s that are **not greater** than Y_i
- **Estimate** $\hat{\gamma} = \operatorname{argmax} \sum_{t=1}^n c_\theta [\hat{F}(X_t), \hat{G}_n(Y_t)]$
- $\hat{\theta}$: **Consistent & asymptotically normal** under regularity conditions

Nonparametric estimation

- **Empirical copula** process
Deheuvels (1979), Fermanian, Radulovic & Wegkamp (2004)
 - Estimate **both univariate & multivariate** cdf's **nonparametrically: empirical cdf's**
 - Use **inversion method** to estimate copula
 - $C(u, v) = H(F^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v))$
 - Replace H by \hat{H}_n and F^{-1} and G^{-1} by inverses of \hat{F}_n and \hat{G}_n
 - Obtain $\hat{C}(u, v)$: **empirical copula**

Nonparametric estimation

- Estimate **marginals nonparametrically**: $\hat{F}_n(x)$ & $\hat{G}_n(x)$

$$\text{Empirical cdf's } \hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x),$$
$$\hat{G}_n(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(Y_t \leq y)$$

- Estimate **joint cdf nonparametrically**

$$\hat{H}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x, Y_t \leq y) =$$
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x) I(Y_t \leq y)$$

- Estimate **copula** via **inversion** method

$$\hat{C}(u, v) = \hat{H}(\hat{F}_n^{-1}(u), \hat{G}_n^{-1}(v))$$

$$\hat{F}_n^{-1} = \inf\{t \in \mathbf{R} : \hat{F}_n(t) \geq u\}$$

$$\hat{G}_n^{-1} = \inf\{t \in \mathbf{R} : \hat{G}_n(t) \geq v\} : \text{Inverses of } \hat{F}_n, \hat{G}_n$$

- **Empirical copula** process: asymptotically **Gaussian** (normal)

Copula-based time series estimation

Semiparametric estimation for time series

Chen & Fan (2004)

- **Estimation** procedures: **similar** to the case of i.i.d. vectors
- **Additional regularity** conditions
- $\{X_t\}$: **copula-based stationary dependent time series** (**Markov** chain); C : **copula** of (X_t, X_{t+1})

Copula-based time series estimation

- **Two-stage** semiparametric estimation
 - Estimate **univariate** marginals **nonparametrically**: $\hat{F}_n(x)$
 - Estimate **copula parameter** θ by **maximizing** Loglikelihood_{copula}(θ) for time series with **marginals replaced** by their **estimates**
 - Empirical **univariate cdf** $\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x)$
 $\hat{F}_n(X_i)$: **fraction** of X_t 's that are **not greater** than X_i
 - **Estimate** $\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax} \sum_{t=1}^n c_{\theta} [\hat{F}(X_t), \hat{F}(X_{t+1})]$
 - $\hat{\theta}$: **Consistent & asymptotically normal** under regularity conditions

Nonparametric copula estimation for time series

- **Nonparametric** estimation: **empirical copula** process for **dependent time series**

- $\{X_t\}$: **copula-based** stationary **dependent** time series (**Markov** chain); C : **copula** of (X_t, X_{t+1})

- Estimate **univariate** marginals **nonparametrically**:

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x)$$

- Estimate **joint cdf** for subsequent observations

$$\text{nonparametrically } \hat{F}_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t \leq x) I(X_{t+1} \leq y)$$

- Use **inversion** method to **estimate copula**

$$\hat{C}_n(u, v) = \hat{F}_n \left[\hat{F}_n^{-1}(u), \hat{F}_n^{-1}(v) \right]$$

- Process: **asymptotically Gaussian** under β -mixing (**weak dependence**) assumptions (Ibragimov, 2005)

Empirical evidence on copula models

- Patton (2004): Parametric estimation of **conditional copula** models for **exchange rates** (Deutsche mark & yen)
- Significant evidence that **dependence** between DM-USD & Yen-USD exchange rates is **asymmetric**
- Strong evidence of a **structural break** in conditional **copula** following the **introduction of euro** in Jan 1999
 - **Tail dependence: decreases**
 - Significant **upper tail** dependence **changes** to weak **lower tail** dependence

Empirical evidence on copula models

Patton (2004)

- **Symmetrized Joe-Clayton** copula

$$C^{SJC}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(C^{JC}(u, v) + C^{JC}(1 - u, 1 - v) + u + v - 1 \right)$$

$$C^{JC}(u, v) = 1 - \left[1 - \left([1 - (1 - u)^\kappa]^{-\gamma} + [1 - (1 - v)^\kappa]^{-\gamma} - 1 \right)^{-1/\gamma} \right]^{1/\kappa}$$

$$\kappa = 1 / \log_2(2 - \tau^U), \quad \gamma = -1 / \log_2(\tau^L)$$

- **Extension** of $C^{Clayton}(u, v) = (u^{-\theta} + v^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}$
- **Tail dependence** parameters λ_U and λ_L **determine copula**
- **Symmetric iff** $\lambda_U = \lambda_L$

Empirical evidence on copula models

Patton (2004)

- Parameter estimates (**MLE**):
 - **Pre-Euro:** $\tau^U = 0.359$ (s.e: 0.025), $\tau_L = 0.294$ (s.e.: 0.027)
 - **Post-Euro:** $\tau_U = 0$ (imposed), $\tau_L = 0.093$ (s.e.: 0.038)
- **Structural break; reversal** of the **dependence direction** following the **introduction of Euro**

Empirical evidence on copula models

- Hu (2004): **modeling & estimation of copula models** for international financial markets using **mixed copulas**
- **Semiparametric** estimation
 - **First stage: Nonparametric** estimation of **marginals**
 - **Second stage:** Estimation of **mixed copulas**

Empirical evidence on copula models Hu (2004):

- **Mixed copula model**

- Idea: account for **asymmetry** in **tail dependence** using a **mixture** of $C^{Gaussian}$ (**no tail dependence** $\lambda_U = \lambda_L = 0$), C^{Gumbel} (**positive** λ^U) and Gumbel survival copula (**positive** λ_L)

- $$C(u, v) = w_1 C^{Gaussian}(u, v, \rho) + w_2 C^{Gumbel}(u, v, \theta_1) + w_3 C^{SGumbel}(u, v, \theta_2)$$

- $$C^{Gumbel}(u, v, \theta_1) = \exp\left(-\left[(-\ln u)^{1/\theta_1} + (-\ln v)^{1/\theta_1}\right]\right)^{-\theta_1}$$

- $$C^{SGumbel}(u, v, \theta_2) = \frac{u + v - 1 + \exp\left(-\left[(-\ln(1-u))^{1/\theta_2} + (-\ln v)^{1/\theta_2}\right]\right)^{-\theta_2}}{u + v}$$

Empirical evidence on copula models

Hu (2004)

- Four **stock market indices**: S&P 500 (US), FTSE 100 (UK), Nikkei 225 (Japan), Hang Seng (HK)
 - Need to **estimate**: one-dimensional **marginals**, individual copula **parameters** (ρ, θ_1, θ_2) and mixture **weights** w_i
 - **Parameters** of C : $\rho, \theta_1, \theta_2, w_1, w_2, w_3$
 - Problem: financial data is **not i.i.d.** over time
GARCH filter is applied to data on stock indices before empirical distributions are computed

Alternative: apply **asymptotic theory** for **empirical processes** for **dependent time series** or **copula-based time series**

Hu (2004)

- Estimation results:

For **all pairs** of stock indices: $w_2 = 0$: **no upper tail** dependence

- (S& P, FTSE): $w_1 = 0.16$, $w_3 = 0.84$ (some **weight** on **symmetric** $C^{Gaussian}$)
- (FTSE, Nikkei): $w_1 = 1$, $w_2 = w_3 = 0$ (**symmetric dependence**)
- **All remaining pairs** (S& P, Nikkei), (S& P, Hang Seng), (FTSE, Hang Seng), (Nikkei, Hang Seng):
 $w_3 = 1$, $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ (**positive lower tail** dependence!)

Good story is **individual**, while **bad story** is **worldwide**

Characterizations of copulas & dependence

de la Peña & Ibragimov (2003), de la Peña, Ibragimov & Sharakhmetov (2003)

Copulas: characterization by U -statistics

- V_1, \dots, V_n : i.i.d. $\mathcal{U}([0, 1])$
- C : n -copula iff $\exists \tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}$ s.t.

A1 (integrability):

$$\int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 |\tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_c})| dt_{i_1} \dots dt_{i_c} < \infty$$

A2 (degeneracy):

$$E_{V_{i_k}} \left[\tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_{k-1}}, V_{i_k}, V_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, V_{i_c}) \right] = 0$$

A3 (positive definiteness):

$$\tilde{U}_n(V_1, \dots, V_n) \equiv \sum_{c=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq n} \tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_c}) \geq -1$$

- **Representation for C :**

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \int_0^{u_1} \dots \int_0^{u_n} (1 + \tilde{U}_n(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \prod_{i=1}^n dt_i$$

- \tilde{U}_n : sum of **degenerate U -statistics**

Device for constructing n -copulas and cdf's

- **Bivariate Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copulas & cdf's:**

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = uv(1 + \theta(1 - u)(1 - v))$$

$$H_{\theta}(x, y) = F(x)G(y)\left(1 + \theta(1 - F(x))(1 - G(y))\right)$$

$$n = 2; \tilde{g}_{1,2}(t_1, t_2) = \theta(1 - 2t_1)(1 - 2t_2), \theta \in [-1, 1]$$

- **Multivariate EFGM copulas & cdf's:**

$$C_{\theta}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_i \left(1 + \theta \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - u_i) \right)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_c}) = \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_c} (1 - 2t_{i_1})(1 - 2t_{i_2}) \dots (1 - 2t_{i_c})$$

- **Generalized multivariate EFGM copulas** (Johnson and Kotz, 1975, Cambanis, 1977)

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n u_k \left(1 + \sum_{c=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq n} \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_c} (1 - u_{i_k}) \right)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_c}) = 0, \quad c < n - 1$$

$$\tilde{g}_{1, 2, \dots, n}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \theta(1 - 2t_1)(1 - 2t_2) \dots (1 - 2t_n)$$

- **Generalized EFGM copulas:** complete **characterization** of joint **cdf's** of **two-valued r.v.'s** (Sharakhmetov & Ibragimov, 2002)

From dependence to independence through U -statistics

\mathcal{G}_n : sums of U -statistics

$$U_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{c=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq n} g_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_c})$$

g_{i_1, \dots, i_c} : satisfy A1-A3

- Arbitrarily **dependent r.v.'s**:
sum of U -statistics in **independent r.v.'s**
with canonical kernels
- **Reduction** of problems for **dependence** to **well-studied** objects
- Transfer of results for U -**statistics** under **independence**

Characterizations of dependence

Canonical \tilde{g}' s: complete **characterizations** of **dependence** properties

- X_1, \dots, X_n : **independent** iff

$$\tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_c}) = 0 \quad \forall i_1, \dots, i_c$$

- X_1, \dots, X_n : **r -independent** if $\forall r$ jointly independent

$r = 2$: pairwise independence

$$\Leftrightarrow \tilde{g}_{i_1, \dots, i_c}(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_c}) = 0 \text{ (a.s.) } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq n,$$

$c = 2, \dots, r$

Measures of dependence & sharp bounds for contingent claims & VaR

- Measures of dependence:

$$\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(dF(x_1, \dots, x_n))^2}{dF_1(x_1) \dots dF_n(x_n)} - 1$$

- (multivariate Pearson's ϕ^2)

$$\delta_{X_1, \dots, X_n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left(\frac{dF(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{dF_1 \dots dF_n} \right) dF(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

(relative entropy)

Measures of dependence & sharp inequalities

- **Normal** distribution: **explicit** expressions

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n)' \sim N(\mu, \Sigma):$$

- $\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^2 = |R(2I_n - R)|^{-1/2} - 1, \lambda(R) < 2$
- **U-statistic** representations \Rightarrow

Generalized EFGM:

$$\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^2 = \sum_{c=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq n} \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_c}^2$$

Reduction of expectations under dependence to independence

- **Bounds for expected payoffs & fair prices of contingent claims under dependence**
- $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$: **arbitrary nonnegative**

$Ef(X_1, \dots, X_n)$: **expected payoff** of a **contingent claim** on **risks** X_1, \dots, X_n

ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n : **independent** copies

Reduction of expectations under dependence to independence

Sharp inequalities: $Ef(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq$

- $(1 + \phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^2)^{1/q} (Ef^q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))^{1/q}, \quad q \geq 2$

- $\underbrace{Ef(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)}_{\text{Expected payoffs under independence}} + \underbrace{\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n} \left(Ef^2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \right)^{1/2}}_{\text{price for dependence}}$

Expected payoffs
under **independence**

price for
dependence

Bounds for tail probabilities: value at risk

- **Sharp inequalities:** $P[h(X_1, \dots, X_n) > x] \leq$
 - $\left[1 + \phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^2\right]^{1/2} \left[P(h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) > x)\right]^{1/2}$
 - $(e - 1)P[h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) > x] + \delta_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$
 - $\underbrace{P[h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) > x]}_{\text{Tail probability under independence}} + \underbrace{\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n} \left[P(h(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) > x)\right]^{1/2}}_{\text{price for dependence}}$

- **Bounds for portfolio VaR** in the case $h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i$

- **Reduction** to the case of **i.i.d. risks**

Conclusion

- **Fundamental problems in economics, finance & risk management:**
 - **Properties of marginal distributions**
 - **Dependence**
- **Copulas: convenient tool to account for both effects and to separate one from the other**
- **Separation of marginal effects from dependence: Key to**
 - **Reduction of problems under dependence to independent case**
 - **Sharp bounds on value at risk for financial portfolios & contingent claim (option) prices**
 - **Estimation of co-movements in financial & insurance markets**
- Theory & applications of **copulas: main results lie ahead**